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#### Abstract

We demonstrate that the new algebra associated to the non-standard $R$-matrix, investigated previously by Fei and Yue is related to the $\operatorname{SU}(2) Q^{-a l g e b r a}$ by a simple transformation. Criteria which identify equivalent quantum algebras are given.


In a recent letter [1] Fei and Yue investigated a quantum enveloping algebra related to the $\hat{R}$-matrix (a solution of the braid group)

$$
\hat{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & &  \tag{1}\\
& 1+q & q & \\
& -1 & 0 & \\
& & & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

They claim that the Fadeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan (FRT) quantization procedure [2], with (1) as input, yields a new quantum algebra. In this letter we explicitly show that the algebra found in [1] (equations (10)-(13)) is related to the well-known $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{Q}$ algebra. We also give a simple criteria which allows one to identify isomorphic algebras.

We recall fRT-equations which connect quantum enveloping algebras and $\widehat{R}$ matrices [2]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{R} L_{2}(\varepsilon) L_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)=L_{2}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) L_{1}(\varepsilon) \hat{R} \\
& L_{1}(\varepsilon)=L(\varepsilon) \otimes 1 \quad L_{2}(\varepsilon)=1 \otimes L(\varepsilon)=P L_{1}(\varepsilon) P \\
& \left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)=(+,+),(-,-),(+,-) \\
& L(+)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{11}^{+} & \ldots & L_{n n}^{+} \\
0 & & L_{n n}^{+}
\end{array}\right) . \quad L(-)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{11}^{-} & & 0 \\
L_{n 1}^{-} & \ldots & L_{n n}^{-}
\end{array}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P$ is the permutation operator in $V \otimes V$.
The entries $L_{i j}^{ \pm}$of the upper $(L(+))$ and lower $(L(-))$ triangular matrices are generators of the quantum algebra $A \equiv A\left(\hat{R}, L_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)$associated to a given $\hat{R}$-matrix.

The algebra $A\left(\hat{R}, L_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)$may be endowed with a Hopf (co-algebraic) structure in the usual way, by defining the coproduct $\Delta$, the co-unit $\varepsilon$ and the antipode $S$ [2].

Let $A \equiv A\left(\hat{R}, L_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)$and $\mathscr{A} \equiv \mathscr{A}\left(\hat{\mathscr{R}}, \mathscr{L}_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)$be two quantum algebras, obtainable from the FRT-procedure (2). Then the following observations hold (see also [3]):
(i) $A$ and $\mathscr{A}$ are isomorphic as Hopf algebras if $\hat{R}$-matrices associated to them are related by the similarity (gauge) transformation of diagonal form (including scaling):

$$
\hat{R}=\lambda W^{-1} \hat{\mathscr{R}} W \quad \lambda \in C-\{0\}
$$

(ii) The generators $L_{i j}^{ \pm}$(of $A$ ) and $\mathscr{L}_{i j}^{ \pm}$(of $\mathscr{A}$ ) are related as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}(\varepsilon)=P W^{-1} P \mathscr{L}_{1}(\varepsilon) W \\
& L_{2}(\varepsilon)=W^{-1} \mathscr{L}_{2}(\varepsilon) P W P
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) The coproducts $\Delta$ (of $A$ ) and $\delta$ (of $\mathscr{A}$ ) are related as

$$
\Delta=W^{-1} \delta W
$$

Let us choose $\mathscr{A} \equiv \mathscr{A}\left(\hat{\mathscr{R}}, \mathscr{L}_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)$to be a one-parameter $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{Q^{-}}$-algebra associated to the $\mathscr{K}_{Q}$-matrix ${ }^{\text {- }}$

$$
\hat{\mathscr{R}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & &  \tag{3}\\
& 1-1 / Q^{2} & 1 / Q & \\
& 1 / Q & 0 & \\
& & & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and let $\left.A \equiv A\left(\hat{R}, L_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)\right)$be a two-parameter algebra $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{p, k}[4]$ associated to the $\hat{R}_{p, k}$ matrix

$$
\hat{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & &  \tag{4}\\
& 1-1 / p k & 1 / p & \\
& 1 / k & 0 & \\
& & & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

In an earlier paper [5] we showed that $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{p, k}$ is isomorphic (as a Hopf algebra) to $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathcal{Q}}$, with $Q^{2}=p k$. The similarity transformation which establishes this isomorphism is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{R}_{p, k}=W^{-1}(\eta) \hat{\mathscr{R}}_{Q} W(\eta) \\
& W(\eta)=\eta^{J_{0} / 2} \otimes \eta^{-J_{0} / 2} \\
& J_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& \eta^{2}=p / k
\end{align*}
$$

With $k=-1, p=1 / q$ we obtain the $\hat{R}$-matrix (1) from the $\hat{R}$-matrix (4). Owing to observations (i)-(iii), the algebras associated to the $\hat{R}$-matrices (1) or (4) are isomorphic to $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{Q}$, with $Q^{2}=-1 / q$. From (5) and observation (iii) one can easily derive relations between co-algebraic structures.

Finally, we point out that the Hopf algebra in [1] (equations (12) and (13)) directly follows from the two-parameter algebra $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{p^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$, discussed in $[5,6]$, with $p^{\prime}=-q^{1 / 2}$, $k^{\prime}=q^{1 / 2}, Q^{\prime 2}=p^{\prime} k^{\prime}=-q, \eta^{2}=p^{\prime} / k^{\prime}=-1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=J_{0} \\
& E^{ \pm}=((q+1) /(q-1))^{1 / 2}(-1)^{-1 / 2\left(J_{0} \mp 1 / 2\right) J_{\mp}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{0}$ and $J_{ \pm}$are generators of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{Q}$. Hence, the algebra in [1], (equation (12)), is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{Q^{\prime}}$ with $Q^{2 \prime}=-q$. Then the co-algebra in [1] (equation (13)) is defined as in [5], i.e. $\Delta=\Delta_{Q}, S=S_{Q}$ and $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{Q}$, where the index $Q^{\prime}$ refers to $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{Q}$.

Notice that for $q=-1(Q= \pm 1)$ the algebra in [1], equations (12) and (13), is equivalent to $S U(2)_{Q=1}$ and $S U(2)_{Q=-1}$. It is interesting to observe that there is a general isomorphism between $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{q}$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{-q}$, which can be established using the transformations as in [5] (see also [7]).

To conclude, we have found no evidence for the new algebraic (and co-algebraic) structure related to the $\hat{R}$-matrix (1). Nevertheless, it is still an interesting question whether non-trivial new algebras can be found in connection with other $4 \times 4$ solutions of the braid (Yang-Baxter) equations [8]. Our criteria (i)-(iii) significantly simplify such an analysis. This topic is currently under investigation.
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